

ANTHONY EUGENE HANCOCK
EL.,

Plaintiff,

v.

LINCOLN POLICE DEPARTMENT,
K. RUSSELL, #1783, OFC BAKER,
OFC CALLESE, #1783, and SGT
PHILLIPS, #943,

Defendants.

ORDER

Pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(b\)\(1\)](#), Plaintiff must pay an initial partial filing fee in the amount of 20 percent of the greater of Plaintiff's average monthly account balance or average monthly deposits for the six months preceding the filing of the complaint. Plaintiff's account balance is \$0.00, and the court cannot assess an initial partial filing fee. However, as set forth in [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(b\)\(4\)](#), "[i]n no event shall a prisoner be prohibited from bringing a civil action . . . for the reason that the prisoner

has no assets and no means by which to pay the initial partial filing fee.” When the prisoner is unable to pay the initial partial filing fee due to a lack of funds, the requirement that the initial partial filing fee will be paid at the outset of the case is suspended. See [Jackson, 173 F. Supp. 2d at 957 n. 9](#). Instead, “the whole of the . . . filing fees are to be collected and paid by the installment method contained in § 1915(b)(2).” [Henderson v. Norris, 129 F.3d 481, 484 \(8th Cir. 1997\)](#). This matter will therefore proceed without payment of the initial partial filing fee as set forth below.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff’s Motion for Leave to Proceed IFP (Filing No. 2), is granted. The filing fee shall be collected and remitted, as funds exist, in the manner set forth in [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(b\)\(2\)](#). Until the full filing fee of \$350.00 is paid, the prisoner shall be obligated to pay, and the agency having custody of the prisoner shall forward to the clerk of the court, 20 percent of the preceding month’s income in such months as the account exceeds \$10.00.

2. The clerk of the court shall serve a copy of this order on the appropriate financial officer for Plaintiff’s current institution.

3. The next step in Plaintiff’s case will be for the court to conduct an initial review of Plaintiff’s claims to determine whether summary dismissal is appropriate under [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(e\)\(2\)](#). The court will conduct this initial review in its normal course of business.

DATED this 9th day of January, 2018.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Richard G. Kopf
Senior United States District Judge